Evidence Provided ⁱ	Submit Studies ⁱⁱ	Evidence Tier
Note: Applicants must 1) state the evidence tier in which they think they qualify;		
2) clearly indicate and describe the evidence that supports the highest evidence tier for		
which they are eligible and 3) describe the complete body of evidence that supports		
their program intervention including evidence from lower tiers. Applicants should pay		
particular attention to the requirements for each tier of evidence. All requirements must		
be met in order for applicants to be assigned to a tier. Applicants who do not fully		
describe their evidence base may not be assigned to a tier for which they qualify.		
Applicant has not systematically collected any qualitative or quantitative data on their	No	No Evidence
program or intervention.		
Applicant is evidence informed ⁱⁱⁱ but has not systematically collected any qualitative or	No	No Evidence
quantitative data on their program or intervention.		
) T	D D I' '
The applicant has collected systematic and accurate data from their own program or	No	Pre-Preliminary
intervention to test or track one or more of the following components of its logic model:		
□ Community need		
☐ Activities and services delivered (outputs)		
☐ Participation in the intervention by the target population (outputs)		
☐ Participant outcomes, including performance measurement data		
All of the following are true:		
☐ The data collection process and results are described fully		
☐ The applicant explains the link between data collection and the relevant		
component(s) of its logic model		

The applicant has conducted a process evaluation of their own program assessing implementation of one or more interventions depicted in the logic model.	No	Pre-Preliminary
All of the following are true:		
☐ The data collection process and results are described fully ☐ The applicant explains the link between data collection and the relevant component(s) of its logic model		
The applicant has conducted at least one outcome study of its own intervention. The outcome study has one of the following designs: □ Pre and post-test without a comparison group □ Post-test only with a comparison group □ Retrospective pre-post test if use is justified	No	Preliminary (Outcome Study)
All of the following are true:		
☐ The outcome study includes data beyond that which is collected as part of routine performance measurement		
☐ The applicant provides a detailed description of the outcome study data ☐ The description explains whether the outcome study was conducted by the applicant organization or by an entity external to the applicant		
☐ The outcome study yielded promising results for the proposed intervention		

The applicant is proposing to replicate iv an evidence-based program with fidelity. All of	Yes	Preliminary
the following are true:		(Replication)
☐ Applicant submits at least one randomized control study (RCT) or quasi-		
experimental evaluation (QED) of the intervention the applicant will replicate		
☐ The evaluation found positive results for the intervention the applicant will replicate		
☐ The evaluation was conducted by an entity external to the organization whose program was studied		
☐ Applicant describes how the intervention studied and the applicant's approach are the same		
☐ Applicant describes how the applicant will replicate the intervention with fidelity to the program model		
The following may be true but is not required:		
☐ The applicant has described a process evaluation demonstrating how it is		
currently replicating the intervention with fidelity to the program model		
The following is true if the applicant is part of a multi-site program (for example, a		
national program operating in multiple states) that has conducted an evaluation that		
qualifies them for the moderate or strong evidence tier, but the evaluation did not		
include the applicant's proposed sites:		
☐ The applicant describes how they are replicating the evidence-based program		
with fidelity at all of the sites included in the application.		

_	plicant has conducted at least one quasi-experimental study (QED) or	Yes	Moderate
randon	nized control trial (RCT) of its own program. All of the following are true:		
	The studies are well-designed and well-implemented		
	The studies evaluate the same intervention described in the application		
	The studies demonstrate evidence of effectiveness (positive findings) on one or		
	more key desired outcomes of interest depicted in the applicant's logic model		
	The studies were conducted by an independent entity external to the applicant organization		
	The ability to generalize the findings from the RCT or QED beyond the study context may be limited (e.g., single-site)		
The an	plicant has conducted at least one quasi-experimental study (QED) or	Yes	Strong
_	nized control trial (RCT) of its own program. All of the following are true:		24.01.8
	The studies are well-designed and well-implemented		
	The studies evaluate the same intervention described in the application		
	The studies were conducted by an independent entity external to the applicant		
	organization		
	The overall pattern of study findings is consistently positive on the key		
	outcomes depicted in the applicant's logic model		
	Findings may be generalized beyond the study context		
At leas	t one of the following is true:		
	The intervention has been tested nationally, regionally, or at the state level (e.g.,		
	multi-site)		
	The applicant has conducted multiple QEDs or RCTs in different locations or		
	with different populations within a local geographic area		

AmeriCorps State and National 2018 Evidence Checklist

ⁱ All requirements must be met in order for applicants to be assigned to a tier. Applicants who do not fully describe their evidence base may not be assigned to a tier for which they qualify.

ii When submission of studies is permitted, applicants may submit up to two evaluation reports. CNCS grantees recompeting for at least the second time are required to submit an evaluation report. For these grantees, the CNCS-required evaluation report may count toward one of the two studies or may be submitted in addition to them. In the latter case, all three studies will be considered against the review criteria. Studies submitted beyond the allowable number will not be reviewed.

iii An evidence-informed program uses the best available knowledge, research, and evaluation to guide program design and implementation, but does not have scientific research or rigorous evaluation of the program itself and is not replicating an evidence-based program

iv Replicate means that the key elements of the applicant's intervention are implemented as the evidence-based program model describes (e.g., in terms of content or curriculum, delivery process, and target population), and the applicant's adaptations are relatively minor. For example, an applicant implementing an intervention using certified teachers to administer the curriculum would not be considered replicating that program with fidelity if it replaces teachers with AmeriCorps members who are not certified teachers because the documented success of the intervention relied on the specialization of certified teachers.